# BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

KMJ/CORBIN & COMPANY, LLP 555 Anton Blvd., Suite 1000 Costa Mesa, CA 90626

Certified Public Accountancy Partnership Certificate No. 6605,

KENDALL GLADE MERKLEY 1570 Canyon Meadows Lane Glendora, CA 91740

Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 53860,

ANTHONY JOSEPH PRICE 10132 Merrimac Drive Huntington Beach, CA 92646

Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 82793,

Respondents.

Case No. AC-2012-32

#### DECISION AND ORDER AS TO KMJ/CORBIN & COMPANY, LLP

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the California Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter as to KMJ/Corbin & Company, LLP.

This Decision shall become effective on March 3, 2013.

It is so ORDERED February 1, 2013.

FOR THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF

ACCOUNTANCY

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

1			
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RON ESPINOZA		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 176908		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
	P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2100		
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
8			
9		RE THE	
10	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF (	CALIFORNIA	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	1	
13	KMJ/CORBIN & COMPANY, LLP.	Case No. AC-2012-32	
14	555 Anton Blvd., Suite 1000 Costa Mesa, CA 90626	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO	
15	Certified Public Accountancy Partnership	KMJ/CORBIN & COMPANY, LLP	
16	Certificate No. 6605,		
17	KENDALL GLADE MERKLEY 1570 Canyon Meadows Lane		
18	Glendora, CA 91740	·	
19	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 53860,	·	
20	ANTHONY JOSEPH PRICE		
21	10132 Merrimac Drive Huntington Beach, CA 92646		
22	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No.		
23	82793,		
24	Respondents.	·	
25			
26	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
27	///		
28	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		1	
		1	
j.	ı .	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AS TO KMJ (AC-2012-32)	

In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the public interest and the responsibility of the California Board of Accountancy ("CBA" or "Board") of the Department of Consumer Affairs, the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order which will be submitted to the CBA for approval and adoption as the final disposition of the Accusation as to KMJ/Corbin & Company, LLP.

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Patti Bowers ("Complainant") is the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Ron Espinoza, Deputy Attorney General.
- 2. KMJ/Corbin & Company, LLP ("Respondent" or "KMJ") is represented in this proceeding by Stephen J. Tully, Esq., whose address is: Garrett & Tully, 4165 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd., Suite 201, Westlake Village, California, 91362. KMJ is acting in this proceeding through its authorized partner, Mike Faddoul, and he has been designated and authorized by KMJ to enter into this agreement on behalf of the firm (hereafter, "Authorized Representative"). <sup>1</sup>
- 3. On or about October 18, 2002, the Board issued Certified Public Accountancy Partnership Certificate No. 6605 to Respondent KMJ/Corbin & Company, LLP.<sup>2</sup> The license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. AC-2012-32 and will expire on October 31, 2014, unless renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. AC-2012-32 was filed before the California Board of Accountancy,
Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation
and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on
December 27, 2012. Respondent timely filed its Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mr. Faddoul is not otherwise involved in these proceedings and was not personally involved in the circumstances which gave rise to the Accusation in this matter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The terms "Partnership Certificate" and "license" refer to the authority granted to Respondent by the CBA to practice accountancy as a partnership in California, and the terms are used interchangeably herein.

5. A copy of Accusation No. AC-2012-32 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent through its Authorized Representative has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. AC-2012-32. Respondent through its Authorized Representative has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against it; the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. AC-2012-32.
- 10. Respondent agrees that its Partnership Certificate is subject to discipline, and it agrees to be bound by the CBA's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the California Board of Accountancy or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

#### CONTINGENCY

12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the California Board of Accountancy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the California

Board of Accountancy may communicate directly with the CBA regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent's Authorized Representative understands and agrees that KMJ may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the CBA considers and acts upon it. If the CBA fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the CBA shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 13. The parties understand and agree that copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including the signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the CBA may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Certified Public Accountancy Partnership Certificate No. 6605 issued to Respondent KMJ/Corbin & Company, LLP, is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

#### 1. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all federal, California, other states' and local laws, including those rules relating to the practice of public accountancy in California.

#### 

#### 2. Cost Reimbursement

Respondent shall reimburse the CBA a sum not to exceed \$50,000 for its investigation and prosecution costs of this matter, including those costs of probation monitoring. Respondent shall reimburse the CBA \$29,163 for its current investigation and prosecution costs within thirty (30) days of the date the CBA's decision is final. In addition, the CBA will periodically present Respondent with a certified statement of costs to date, including additional costs incurred during probation, and Respondent shall pay those costs to the CBA within thirty (30) days thereafter. If costs are billed after the completion of the probationary period, the obligation to pay the costs shall continue, but the probation shall not be extended thereby. Any costs owed hereunder, exclusive of costs of probation monitoring, shall be reduced by any payments made by co-Respondents to Accusation No. AC-2012-32, Kendall Glade Merkley and Anthony Joseph Price.

#### 3. Submit Written Reports

Respondent shall submit, within ten (10) days of completion of the quarter, written reports to the CBA on a form obtained from the CBA. The Respondent shall submit, under penalty of perjury, such other written reports, declarations, and verification of actions as are required. These declarations shall contain statements relative to Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Respondent shall immediately execute all release of information forms as may be required by the CBA or its representatives. Respondent shall also comply with all requirements imposed by the "Order Instituting Public Administrative and Cease-and-Desist Proceedings Pursuant to Sections 4C and 21C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 102(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions and a Cease-and-Desist Order" issued by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on September 13, 2010, and report such compliance to the CBA in its quarterly reports.

#### 4. Personal Appearances

Respondent shall, during the period of probation, appear in person through an authorized representative at interviews/meetings as directed by the CBA or its designated representatives, provided such notification is accomplished in a timely manner.

# 

### 

#### 

### 

# 

# 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 5. Comply With Probation

Respondent shall fully comply with the terms and conditions of the probation imposed by the CBA and shall cooperate fully with representatives of the California Board of Accountancy in its monitoring and investigation of the Respondent's compliance with probation terms and conditions.

#### 6. Practice Investigation

Respondent shall be subject to, and shall permit, a practice investigation of the Respondent's professional practice. Such a practice investigation shall be conducted by representatives of the CBA, provided notification of such review is accomplished in a timely manner.

#### 7. Comply With Citations

Respondent shall comply with all final orders resulting from citations issued by the California Board of Accountancy.

#### 8. Violation of Probation

If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the CBA, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or a petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the CBA shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

The CBA's Executive Officer may issue a citation under California Code of Regulations, Section 95, to a licensee for a violation of a term or condition contained in a decision placing that licensee on probation.

#### 9. Completion of Probation

Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's license will be fully restored.

#### 10. Dissemination of the Stipulated Settlement

Within fifteen (15) days of the effective date of this Order, Respondent shall disseminate this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order to all of its professional personnel officed in California and shall confirm such dissemination in writing to the CBA.

#### 11. Peer Review

.18

During the period of probation, all audit, review, and compilation reports and work papers shall be subject to peer review by a Board-recognized peer review program provider pursuant to California Business and Professions Code Section 5076 and California Code of Regulations, Title 16, Division 1, Article 6, at Respondent's expense. The specific engagements to be reviewed shall be at the discretion of the peer reviewer. The peer review shall be completed by December 31, 2013, which time frame shall be incorporated as a condition of this probation.

Within 45 days of the peer review report being accepted by a Board-recognized peer review program provider, Respondent shall submit to the CBA a copy of the peer review report, including any materials documenting the prescription of remedial or corrective actions imposed by the Board-recognized peer review program provider. Respondent shall also submit, if available, any materials documenting completion of any or all of the prescribed remedial or corrective actions.

#### 12. Audit Training.

Prior to December 31, 2013, Respondent shall provide two eight-hour training courses for its accountants officed in California in the area of audits conducted under the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) Standards and Rules. Respondent shall provide the CBA with a copy of the agenda and related materials for review at least two weeks prior to the training sessions. Within thirty (30) days following each training session, Respondent shall provide the CBA with a list of those personnel who attended the training. For those accountants who attend, the 16 hours of training shall not be counted towards the CBA's continuing education requirements for relicensing.

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 ///

#### ACCEPTANCE

I, Mike Faddoul, a partner of KMI/Corbin & Company, LLP, have been authorized to act
on Respondent's behalf in this matter, and have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement
and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with our attorney, Stephen J. Tully, Esq. I
understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on Respondent's Certified Public
Accountancy Partnership Certificate. On behalf of Respondent KMJ, I enter into this Stipulated
Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and KMJ agrees to
be bound by the Decision and Order of the California Board of Accountancy.

DATED:

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

7/13 Mile 9

For KMJ/CORBIN & COMPANY, LLI

Respondent

Name: Mike Faddoul Title: Managing Partner Authorized Representative

I have read and fully discussed with Mike Faddoul, the Authorized Representative of KMI/Corbin & Company, LLP, the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above

Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. Lapprove its form and content.

17 | DATED: /-7-/3

STEPHEN J. TULLY ASC Attorney for Respondent

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

- | '

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 | ///

27 /

28 | .

Q

#### **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the California Board of Accountancy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: Janua 7, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General

RON ESPINOZA
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SD2012702944

## Exhibit A

Accusation No. AC-2012-32

1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RON ESPINOZA	•	
	Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 176908 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	•	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2100		
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	G AG BOLO GO	
12	KMJ/CORBIN & COMPANY, LLP	Case No. AC-2012-32	
13	555 Anton Blvd., Suite 1000 Costa Mesa, CA 90626	·	
14	Certified Public Accountancy Partnership	ACCUSATION	
15	Certificate No. 6605,		
16	KENDALL GLADE MERKLEY 1570 Canyon Meadows Lane		
17	Glendora, CA 91740	·	
	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 53860,	· .	
18	ANTHONY JOSEPH PRICE		
19	10132 Merrimac Drive Huntington Beach, CA 92646		
20	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 82793.		
21	Solution 1 dono 1 document Collinoate 140. 62793,		
22	Respondents.		
23		•	
24	Complainant alleges:		
25	<u>PARTIES</u>		
26	1. Patti Bowers (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as		
27	the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs,		
28	State of California.		
7		•	

- 2. On or about October 18, 2002, the California Board of Accountancy issued Certified Public Accountancy Partnership Certificate No. 6605 to KMJ/Corbin & Company, LLP (Respondent KMJ). The certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2014, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about September 22, 1989, the California Board of Accountancy issued Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 53860 to Kendall Glade Merkley (Respondent Merkley). The certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2013, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about March 6, 2002, the California Board of Accountancy issued Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 82793 to Anthony Joseph Price (Respondent Price). The certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2014, unless renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

- 5. This Accusation is brought before the California Board of Accountancy (Board or CBA), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
  - 6. Code section 5100 states:

After notice and hearing the board may revoke, suspend, or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted under Article 4 (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 5080), or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

- (h) Suspension or revocation of the right to practice before any governmental body or agency.
- (1) The imposition of any discipline, penalty, or sanction on a registered public accounting firm or any associated person of such firm, or both, or on any other holder of a permit, certificate, license, or other authority to practice in this state, by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board or the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, or their designees under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 or other federal legislation.

Inc. (HSOA), from 2002 through the second quarter of 2007.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

Commission (SEC) issued an "Order Instituting Public Administrative and Cease-and-Desist

On or about September 13, 2010, the United States Securities and Exchange

Sanctions and a Cease-and-Desist Order" against Respondents. Respondents consented to the entry of the Order against them, without admitting or denying the findings therein, except as to the SEC's jurisdiction over Respondents and the subject matter of the proceedings, which they admitted.

11. The SEC Order stated in pertinent part: "While supervising and conducting KMJ's audits and reviews of HSOA's financial statements for 2004, 2005, 2006, and the periods ended

Proceedings Pursuant to Sections 4C and 21C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule

102(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial

- audits and reviews of HSOA's financial statements for 2004, 2005, 2006 and the periods ended March 31 and June 30, 2007, Merkley (except as to 2007) and Price failed to adhere to PCAOB Standards and Rules. In summary, Merkley and Price failed to: (i) obtain sufficient competent evidential matter regarding bonuses, revenues, and cost of revenues with respect to KMJ's 2004, 2005, and 2006 audit engagements; (ii) comply with PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 3, *Audit Documentation*; (iii) adequately plan the audit and properly supervise assistants in connection with the 2006 engagement; and (iv) conduct reviews of interim financial information in accordance with PCAOB Standards and Rules. Additionally, Merkley caused KMJ to issue inaccurate audit reports in that he should have known that KMJ's audit reports were false because they incorrectly represented that the audits were conducted in accordance with PCAOB standards and that HSOA's financial statements were prepared in conformity with GAAP."
- 12. Based on the foregoing, the SEC Order found that: "KMJ, Merkley and Price engaged in improper professional conduct pursuant to Rules 102(e)(1)(ii) and 102(e)(1)(iv)(B)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Section 4C of the Exchange Act. Additionally, the Commission finds that Merkley was a cause of HSOA's violations of Sections 13(a) and 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act, and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 promulgated thereunder and caused KMJ's violation of Regulation S-X Rule 2-02(b)(1)."
- 13. As a result of these findings, the SEC issued its September 13, 2010, Order:
  (1) censuring Respondent KMJ; (2) suspending Respondents Price (two years) and Merkley's (three years) privilege of appearing or practicing before the SEC as accountants; and (3) requiring Respondent Merkley to cease and desist from committing or causing any violations and any

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

2728

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Discipline by SEC Against Merkley)

15. Respondent Merkley is subject to disciplinary action under

thereunder, and Regulation S-X Rule 2-02(b)(1).

above, which are hereby incorporated by reference.

15. Respondent Merkley is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (I), in that on or about September 13, 2010, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued an Order suspending Respondent's right to appear or practice before it as an accountant, as well as ordering Respondent to cease and desist from committing or causing any violations and any future violations of certain federal securities laws, as alleged in paragraphs 9-13 above, which are hereby incorporated by reference.

future violations of Sections 13(a) and 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act, Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Discipline by SEC Against KMJ)

14. Respondent KMJ is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions

Code section 5100, subdivision (I), in that on or about September 13, 2010, the United States

Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) censured Respondent, as alleged in paragraphs 9-13

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Suspension of Right to Practice Before Governmental Body Against Merkley)

16. Respondent Merkley is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (h), in that on or about September 13, 2010, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), a governmental body or agency, issued an Order suspending Respondent's right to appear or practice before it as an accountant, as alleged in paragraphs 9-13 above, which are hereby incorporated by reference.

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Discipline by SEC Against Price)

17. Respondent Price is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (l), in that on or about September 13, 2010, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued an Order suspending Respondent's right to

appear or practice before it as an accountant, as alleged in paragraphs 9-13 above, which are hereby incorporated by reference.

#### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Suspension of Right to Practice Before Governmental Body Against Price)

18. Respondent Price is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 5100, subdivision (h), in that on or about September 13, 2010, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), a governmental body or agency, issued an Order suspending Respondent's right to appear or practice before it as an accountant, as alleged in paragraphs 9-13 above, which are hereby incorporated by reference.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending or otherwise imposing discipline upon Certified Public Accountancy Partnership Certificate No. 6605 issued to KMJ/Corbin & Company, LLP;
- 2. Revoking or suspending or otherwise imposing discipline upon Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 53860 issued to Kendall Glade Merkley;
- 3. Revoking or suspending or otherwise imposing discipline upon Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 82793 issued to Anthony Joseph Price;
- 4. Ordering KMJ/Corbin & Company, LLP, Kendall Glade Merkley, and Anthony Joseph Price to pay the California Board of Accountancy an administrative penalty pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5116;
- 5. Ordering KMJ/Corbin & Company, LLP, Kendall Glade Merkley, and Anthony Joseph Price to pay the California Board of Accountancy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5107;

25 | ///

24

26 | ///

27 1///

28 | ///

1	6. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
2	J	and proper,	
3			
4	DATED: 12-27-12	Hannellearce for	
5		PATTI BOWERS Executive Officer	
		California Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
6		State of California  Complainant	
7			
8	SD2012702944 accusation.rtf		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	,		
16	' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '		
17			
18			
19			
20	,		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25		• .	
26	,	: :	
27			
28	) . · ·		